

City Council Meeting Minutes

**October 2, 2018
City Hall, Council Chambers
749 Main Street
7:00 PM**

Call to Order – Mayor Muckle called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call was taken and the following members were present:

City Council: ***Mayor Robert Muckle
Mayor Pro Tem Jeff Lipton
Councilmember Jay Keany
Councilmember Chris Leh
Councilmember Susan Loo
Councilmember Dennis Maloney
Councilmember Ashley Stolzmann***

Staff Present: ***Heather Balsler, City Manager
Megan Davis, Deputy City Manager
Kevin Watson, Finance Director
Tracy Winfree, Interim Parks & Recreation Director
Aaron DeJong, Economic Development Director
Kurt Kowar, Public Works Director
Rob Zuccaro, Planning & Building Safety Director
Becky Campbell, Interim Library Director
Dave Hayes, Police Chief
Kathleen Hix, Human Resources Director
Lisa Ritchie, Associate Planner
Joliette Woodson, Civil Engineer
Meredyth Muth, City Clerk***

Others Present: ***Kathleen Kelly, City Attorney***

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All rose for the pledge of allegiance.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mayor Muckle called for changes to the agenda and hearing none, moved to approve the agenda, seconded by Councilmember Maloney. All were in favor.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chief John Willson, Louisville Fire District, gave his quarterly report. He stated construction on Station 2 is on budget and should be complete in February. He stated many districts are going to the voters for adjustments to their mill levies; Louisville is not. He discussed the Fire Department's ambulance fee structure and prepayment options. He stated October 7 – 13 is fire prevention week.

Deb Fahey, 1118 West Enclave Circle, stated she gave Council copies of comments from the Facebook 0027 Group and NextDoor related to what they want to see at the old Sam's Club building.

APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Mayor Muckle moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by Councilmember Leh. All were in favor.

- A.** Approval of Bills
- B.** Approval of Minutes: September 11, 2018 & September 17, 2018
- C.** Approval of November, December, and January Meeting Schedule

COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS ON PERTINENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Mayor Muckle welcomed new City Attorney Kathleen Kelly.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

None.

REGULAR BUSINESS

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ ACTION – CITY MANAGER'S PROPOSED 2019-2020 OPERATING & CAPITAL BUDGET, 2019 – 2024 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN, AND 2019-2024 LONG-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN –PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/27/18)

Mayor Muckle noted the budget was introduced last month, this is the public hearing, and Council will have another meeting on Thursday before adoption in November.

Mayor opened the public hearing.

Director Watson stated this is basically the same information from September 17 with minor changes. Staff is asking for any areas for which Council would like to see more information. He reviewed the packet contents: transmittal letter, budget by fund, and budget by program.

He stated staff is recommending some structural changes. The first change is the creation of a new Recreation Fund. This fund will require an inter-fund transfer and staff is proposing capital costs for this program to remain in the Capital Projects Fund currently but that may change. Staff is also recommending moving capital outlay from the Golf Course Fund to the Capital Projects Fund from 2021 on.

Director Watson reviewed the revenue projections and targets. These may change slightly before adoption. He noted proposed changes to full-time and part-time wages noting changes already approved in 2018 and proposed changes in 2019 and 2020.

He reviewed the inter-fund transfer projections for the Open Space & Parks Fund, the Cemetery Fund, the Recreation Fund, and the Golf Course Fund. The transfers to the Recreation Fund and Golf Course Fund are new but their impact on the General Fund is the same. Director Watson reviewed the long-term financial plan for each fund and their reserves. He reviewed the budget schedule.

Councilmember Maloney asked Director Kowar if the pavement survey results will be complete and available for budget decisions. Director Kowar stated all the information will not be available until January, but there is some information for budget.

Public Comments

Bethany Sartell, 229 Lois Drive, requested the budget include funding for the median on Bella Vista and Hoover. The HOA is no longer active and has no funding for maintenance. It used to be maintained by the City and she would like the City to repair it to get it started so the neighbors can adopt it going forward.

Mayor Muckle asked if Council members have questions or areas they would like addressed at Thursday's meeting.

Councilmember Loo stated she sent in a list of questions she would like addressed. She noted the KPI sheet titled "Administration/Human Resources" lists an FTE number very different from what is presented tonight. She would like to know where the increases are and how many are for the Recreation Center.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton would like more information on the following:

- The five-year projections, particularly years 3-5. He would like to know what the dollar shortage is for those years

- More information on long-term compensation assumptions including what is included for salary surveys, market adjustments, and merit adjustments.
- What are the assumptions and what is the cost split is between employee and employer for benefits.

Councilmember Maloney stated the budget is sound, the issues that need to be addressed are more policy decisions. He asked for information about:

- Paving appears to be underfunded; is there enough to meet our goals
- Would like more funding set aside for Transportation Master Plan (TMP) projects. He would like a place holder in the budget for South Boulder Road projects.
- Would like information on the wage increases for 2021-2024. The long-term costs will need to balance.
- Need to have policy discussions around the enterprise funds and capital costs for recreation and golf. Regarding the golf course we need to review the water costs and their effects on the fund.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated the recreation/senior center budget is still a work in progress and until we have all the analysis after it opens we shouldn't get too bogged down on the recreation fund budget. We will need 6-9 months of information from the new facility. The 2019 budget will be a temporary budget and will need changes for 2020. Councilmember Maloney agreed; we need real data from the new facility to see how it affects the budget.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated Council does support funding the entryways but staff has some concerns that we need more information about who owns each parcel and who will maintain them long-term so we can do it properly. She agreed with the need to look closer at the out year deficit numbers. Regarding the CIP, it seems some items are really operational costs and that needs to be reviewed.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she disagrees on the Recreation Fund being a temporary discussion; she would like to set the policies now. These are high level policy discussions to have before the center opens so they are in place. We can amend them if we need to.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated the Recreation Fund includes athletic fields but that should be under parks as that is how it is classified in the program budget. She stated the Forestry Program needs to be reviewed for how it is meeting its KPIs.

Councilmember Stolzmann had the following items for followup:

- She is concerned we are not going to meet the paving goals and we seem to be decreasing funding.
- She would like more money dedicated to TMP projects; what is there now is insufficient to meet our needs.
- She would like discussion of high level goals for the Golf Course Fund.

- She would like to know why the increases in Cultural Services.
- She noted large increases in the Administration area and would like to know how that ties to KPIs.

Mayor Muckle stated it has been our practice for years to support the Rec Center out of the General Fund so his hope is that we are still supporting it to the same level we were independent of the new tax. The new tax is for the new parts of the center. We should support the Fund from the General Fund to the same degree we did before the new tax. He feels is a reasonable use of General Fund money. He agreed it is difficult to transfer money to the Golf Course for any reason. It should be an independent enterprise fund and should support itself.

Mayor Muckle agreed a balanced budget is a good idea but there is no need to be overly concerned about the out years at this point. He is not sure we need more funding for TMP projects until we know what we want.

Councilmember Loo asked for information on how specifically the golf course is underfunded. Our goal is have it pay for itself. She wonders how we get more people to use it if we aren't going to spend money on it; how do we make it self-sufficient.

Councilmember Leh asked for information as to why exactly the course is not self-sustaining; what costs are driving this.

City Manager Balser stated staff will be prepared to answer as much of this as we can on Thursday.

Mayor Muckle closed the public hearing.

RESOLUTION NO. 47, SERIES 2018 – A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2018 BUDGET BY AMENDING APPROPRIATIONS IN THE GENERAL FUND, WATER UTILITY FUND, WASTEWATER UTILITY FUND, SOLID WASTE & RECYCLING FUND, AND GOLF COURSE FUND, FOR ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS WITHIN SUCH FUNDS PUBLIC HEARING (advertised Daily Camera 9/28/18)

Mayor Muckle opened the public hearing.

Director Watson stated this item is to confirm the new FTEs for 2018. There are six positions that are permanent increases that were already approved; most are for the recreation center expansion. The other FTEs are to pay for short-term positions that will not carry forward to 2019. Most of these are related to work generated from the hail storm. He reviewed the fiscal impact to the General Fund.

Councilmember Stolzmann asked when other hail impacts (changes to revenues and expenditures) will be addressed in the budget. Director Watson stated there will be another budget amendment to address those.

Public Comments – None.

Mayor Muckle closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Maloney moved to approve Resolution No. 47, Series 2018;
Councilmember Loo seconded. **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote

**ORDINANCE NO. 1763, SERIES 2018 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 17 OF
THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING WIRELESS FACILITIES – 2ND
READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 8/12/18) continued from
9/4/18**

City Attorney Kelly introduced the ordinance and Mayor Muckle opened the public hearing.

Planner Ritchie stated this is the second reading which was continued from September 4. She noted the changes from the September 4 meeting:

- Added provision that will allow a new small cell facility in right-of-way if it does not exceed the average height of the infrastructure in the right-of-way within 600 feet by more than 5 feet
- Added/relocated a provision to allow the City Manager to approve a reduction in the separation requirement and/or an increase in the maximum height for small cell facilities

These changes were in response to concerns from Verizon requesting more height to accommodate future technologies. Staff felt this was a reasonable compromise.

Also in this version staff added/relocated a provision to allow the City Manager to approve a reduction in the separation requirements and /or an increase in the maximum height for small cell facilities if applicants can demonstrate it is needed to deploy a network. Staff also added clarity to the provision allowing facilities in residential areas.

Ritchie noted staff does not feel changes are needed to accommodate 5G technology.

Ritchie referenced an email sent from Comcast noting they feel the ordinance has a flaw related to permit requirements for micro-cell facilities. She stated staff and counsel feel the ordinance as written is compliant with State law on this issue. Staff recommends approval.

Public Comments

Melissa Regan, Sherman and Howard representing Verizon Wireless, stated they renew their concerns from their September letter. They appreciate the changes staff made for height, but would prefer it be higher without requiring City Manager approval. They feel

the five foot attachment height limitation is too limiting. She stated they need the 8 foot extra height to accommodate both 4G and 5G technologies. She distributed some phone simulations of what pole attachments might look like.

Kevin Brown, Verizon Wireless Engineer, stated he could answer questions about deploying 4G and 5G technology. Councilmember Maloney stated we have a number of neighborhoods with no poles other than decorative light poles. He asked how Verizon would deploy 5G on those. Brown stated they would try to mimic the decorative poles but would need to have enough room for two facilities, one each for 4G and 5G. They would use a decorative pole with 4G and 5G attached to it.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she has lots of residents requesting better cell service; so she understands the demand for that. She asked if the email from Comcast and Verizon's concerns related to height make it worth continuing the ordinance so we make sure we get it right. Ritchie stated it is a balance of better service and protecting city character. At this point, without a specific design request to look at accommodating new services, staff does not feel the need to continue it. Staff feels the code as written, and with the City Manager options, will work. The goal is to balance community character and community expectation for service. She noted if we need to change it or hear it is not working we can amend it. If Council wants 8 feet we can make that change.

Councilmember Loo asked if the Federal shot-clock could be met with this ordinance as written. Ritchie stated yes, staff can meet that rule even if City Manager signoff is required. Councilmember Loo asked Regan if a decision in 60 to 90 days is reasonable. Regan stated it depends on if they are attaching to existing facilities or if they want free standing facilities and the City Manager exemption does not apply to both. She stated Verizon is targeting markets where they can get approval without the City Manager to sign off which is why they are asking for 8 feet already allowed now so it is available when they are ready to install.

Ritchie stated the staff is fine with changing the language that a City Manager approval could be used for both new and existing facilities.

Public Comments

John Leary, 1116 Lafarge Avenue, asked if the City Manager exception would include a hearing process for the neighborhood or would it simply be an administrative change. Ritchie stated normally a hearing would make sense, however Federal and State Law would preempt the City from denying these types of applications if the applicant can show the height is needed to run the network so it would be misleading for staff to imply to residents that a public hearing would make a difference.

Councilmember Loo stated this community wants new and faster service but they also want it to look nice. We should make it as easy and clear from the beginning so when

new technology comes we aren't fighting over the poles. She stated her preference for allowing higher poles like Broomfield. Mayor Muckle agreed.

Sherry Sommer, 910 South Palisade Court, asked what the density of poles will be.

Ken Fellman, Kissinger and Fellman, City consultant, stated existing State and Federal rules allow each network to decide how dense their facilities will be. Some neighborhoods might be more impacted, but it is up to the private sector.

He stated the FCC recently amended their rules and they impact cities heavily and a court challenge is expected. It includes language stating a local impediment to service is a violation of Federal law which can include pole height limitations and application fees. He stated his advice for his clients is not to make code changes to address this order until we know what the final rules will be as we have good legal standing until this is resolved.

Fellman stated that related to the Comcast email, State law states communities may continue to require permits for micro facilities because the type of work needed for installation (closing traffic to access a site, working in the right-of-way) requires a permit. He feels the current practices and rules are acceptable under State law and no exception is required.

Mayor Muckle closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Maloney asked the City Attorney if we should continue the item to address the comments from Comcast. City Attorney Kelly stated that on this matter she would defer to Mr. Fellman for legal advice as he is the expert in this field.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked staff if we should we wait until we have final information on the FCC rules. Ritchie stated this ordinance is also required to meet current State law related to small cell facilities. If the FCC rules are more restrictive in the end we can address that. Fellman stated many companies don't want to wait and they do have a use by right to install these facilities under State law, so it is important to get some rules in place so we have design rules to protect us.

Councilmember Loo stated we need to be prepared for 5G so we aren't behind; we should get it right the first time.

Councilmember Stolzmann moved to approve Ordinance 1763, Series 2018; Mayor Muckle seconded the motion.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated we need to update the code to bring it into regulatory compliance with the existing Federal and State rules. She asked staff to continue to look at the regulations to determine if changes are needed.

Mayor Muckle offered a friendly amendment to allow the City Manager to allow waivers for both new and existing facilities. Councilmember Stolzmann accepted amendment.

Councilmember Maloney stated he wants to make sure we are ready for 5G, but is concerned it might be done in random way. He said what is presented is good and will allow us to have something that works for now while the other rules are worked out. He agreed changes will be needed as rules and technology change, but we need to do this so it fits the character of the city.

Vote: All in favor.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION – SOUTH BOULDER ROAD CONNECTIVITY FEASIBILITY STUDY AND PLAN

Joliette Woodson, Civil Engineer Public Works Department, introduced Charles Alexander and Chris Brown from Fehr and Peers which was hired to come up with options for safer crossings for South Boulder Road. Staff is also working to make sure this project aligns with Transportation Master Plan (TMP) as it develops to make sure the goals align between the two. She noted the concepts shown tonight have been shared with affected property owners. Staff is looking for feedback on the alternatives.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton left the meeting at 8:50

Charles Alexander, Fehr and Peers, reviewed the process used to develop the alternatives. They looked at both grade separated and at-grade crossings. He reviewed the crossings at peak traffic. Generally speaking, the bulk of the activity is at Centennial and Main Streets for school kids accessing Louisville Middle School. They reviewed 3.5 years of crash data noting there were two pedestrian involved accidents; four bike crashes, two involved turning movements, none were at Main Street.

He stated the major congestion is eastbound in the afternoons. The level of service at these intersections is operating from levels A –C and is affected by congestion on Highway 42.

He reviewed the different alternatives including five ways to do a grade separated crossing at Main Street. They landed on options both east and west of the railroad tracks at Main Street and chose to look at options for Via Appia rather than Garfield for better trail connectivity. None of the options can be done entirely in the City right of way. He reviewed the diagrams and renderings of the various options, the pros and cons, and the cost estimates which range from \$4.6M to \$7.2M per crossing.

He reviewed some interim steps including a signalized intersection at Eisenhower and South Boulder Road, protected left turns, geometric changes, and light installations. And there could be a prohibition of rights on red along the corridor. He reviewed current

travel times compared to adding some of these options; these interim steps would cost in the \$700K - \$1.2M range.

They will next go to the City boards and the public with specific options to review before returning to Council with preferred alternatives.

Public Comments

Gary Baxley, 319 West Hawthorne Court, stated he is a frequent walker and uses the Via Appia cross walk almost daily. He says this is overdue; the City needs to improve trail access and safety. He asked how long it will take to do these improvements.

Bruce Janda, 806 West Mulberry Street, stated he frequently crosses at Main Street to access trails north of South Boulder Road. He prefers the underpass options aesthetically and prefers the underpass be east of the railroad tracks.

John Leary, 1116 LaFarge Avenue, stated he is concerned about the process from here. He wants to make sure the public input given to the consultants is then given to Council and it is integrated in the recommendations.

Randy Caranci, 441 Elk Trail, Lafayette, stated he strongly supports the underpass options. Many people ride long distances on trails and need these for safety. We need an underpass on Highway 42 as well.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated there has been a great deal of public input to date. She feels the next step needs to be Council discussion on funding rather than public input. She stated having a public meeting before a budget discussion creates a false expectation when there is no way to fund this. We need to look at the Capital Fund or discuss a possible bond measure. She stated a public forum without that is not useful. We should determine funding before picking options.

Councilmember Stolzmann added we should only look at Main Street alternatives west of the train tracks because the kids won't back track to use anything on the east side then also have to cross Main Street. This also services the existing paths on the west side of the tracks. She stated the community is clear they want an underpass, not an overpass.

Councilmember Loo agreed it's not worth looking at the options for Main Street east of the railroad tracks.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she also supports the crossings at Via Appia and Eisenhower.

Mayor Muckle agreed financing will need to be determined or it they will be done one every five years without a bond issue. We would be asking residents do you want all of these now or can you wait for them to come over time.

Councilmember Leh agreed there is no appetite for overcrossings and the Main Street crossings east of the railroad tracks are not optimal. He agreed to discuss funding next.

Councilmember Maloney agreed with Councilmember Stolzmann. He likes the Main Street options on the west side of the tracks and the Via Appia and Eisenhower crossings. He agrees it would be disingenuous to go forward to residents without having a conversation on how to fund these. He stated Council should consider bonding to pay for projects. He stated this is a safety issue.

Councilmember Keany stated if we are looking to a bond to finance these the discussion should include other transportation projects around town, including Cherry Street. He agreed the Main Street options on the west side of the tracks are preferable.

Mayor Muckle noted there is consensus for support for the under crossings on the west side of Main Street and the options for the crossings at Eisenhower and Via Appia.

Councilmember Loo agreed if Council wants to consider a bond issue for any of the items it should cover multiple projects identified in the Transportation Master Plan.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated these South Boulder Road crossings are a priority in town and it might make sense to prioritize one of these Main Street crossings in the capital plan funded from current sources and look at future projects for a bond later.

Mayor Muckle noted there seems to be consensus to fund a Main Street crossing west of the tracks sooner.

Councilmember Loo moved to direct staff to take for public comment alternatives 1 and 2 on Main Street and direct staff to come back with a way to fund an \$8M project as soon as possible.

Mayor Muckle stated he feels more information is needed on designs and costs before directing staff to find the funding.

City Manager Balser stated staff needs to get further refinement on the costs and design. She noted that if Council does want to prioritize \$8M for an undercrossing it will mean removing most other items from the capital budget.

Councilmember Stolzmann seconded the motion.

City Manager Balser clarified the direction: look at two Main Street options west of the railroad tracks for further cost analysis and design and bring it back to Council for input.

Councilmember Leh asked for a friendly amendment to get information on the costs and also bring that back to Council.

Councilmember Loo accepted the friendly amendment to bring back to Council the cost options and what the budget tradeoffs would be.

Mayor Muckle stated we need to get more information on what it will cost before committing to anything.

Councilmember Loo stated we need to take a look at what the trade-offs will be if we want to build this now. There is the money in the capital budget but we need to understand the trade-offs.

Mayor Muckle agreed stating we need to look at the large picture and determine what people want before making the decision.

Councilmember Loo withdrew her motion. The seconder agreed to the withdrawal.

Mayor Muckle clarified the direction: Council is asking staff and the consultant to bring Council more detail on the Main Street west side under crossings 1 and 2 and also the Eisenhower and Via Appia crossings and the at-grade improvements for the corridor. Council will discuss and take public input.

Councilmember Loo stated we can't afford to do the Eisenhower and Via Appia underpasses any time soon, so we should not raise expectations we can.

Mayor Muckle asked if this early level of design for Via Appian and Eisenhower is sufficient. Councilmember Loo stated yes. Alexander stated more design on the Main Street options can be done if wanted.

Director Kowar asked if Council wants the Main Street design taken to 30% and put the Via Appia and Eisenhower in the TMP list of projects. Mayor Muckle stated yes. Director Kowar stated that could be accommodated within the existing contract.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN GOALS

Shaída Libhart with TEI stated work on the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) continues and this is an update on the information they have gathered, a request for direction on the goals, and an update on next steps. Since August, TEI and staff have been gathering public input from multiple meetings and outreach opportunities and the effort continues. They have tried to reach various community groups from across the city. They have received 375 comments so far from people across the City.

Councilmember Keany left at 10:15 pm.

In general, the community is supportive of the project and they want a say in the long-term options. Safety has been a key point as well as better access to destinations, more transit services, and trail connectivity. South Boulder Road and Highway 42 are problems for people driving and for those crossing by walking or biking.

She reviewed the results of how people would prioritize the spending of money on transportation projects. Underpasses are the top priority followed by commuter rail both of which exceeded the other options presented.

She stated the Council comments were paired with comments from City boards and commissions and with the community input to reach the revised goals of:

1. Operate efficiently and safely for all users.
2. Be a cohesive and layered system of streets and trails for walking, biking, transit, driving, and recreation.
3. Provide local and regional travel options that balance needs for Louisville residents, employees, and visitors.
4. Utilize new technologies to provide safe, reliable, clean, and convenient transportation choices.
5. Increase mobility options and access for people of all ages, abilities, and income levels.
6. Provide complete streets that are inviting, enhance livability and reflect the City's small-town atmosphere.
7. Support economic opportunities and businesses.
8. Improve environmental and community health

She stated the next steps are to:

- Complete community engagement
- Develop draft recommendations
- Coordinate with surrounding jurisdictions and area organizations
- Identify potential priorities and funding opportunities

Councilmember Loo stated the goals are so general that anyone would support. She asked if there is statistical data; are they are getting a broad cross-section of residents. Libhart stated their data is not statistical but they are collecting information on age, gender, residency, and if they work in Louisville. Through conversations with respondents they feel they are getting a pretty good section of residents.

Councilmember Loo stated it seems they are only getting a segment of the community and she would have liked more effort to get to residents outside of Old Town. She knows there are groups advocating on both sides of this issue so some people are not getting accurate information; people are biased. The last statistically valid survey we did

had very different results on what people wanted so she finds this information suspect. We need to reach out to other groups who we don't usually hear from.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated the goals are an improvement from the earlier ones. Councilmember Leh agreed. They are useful and reflect what we are trying to do.

Councilmember Leh stated this is just an update and information gathering is continuing. Libhart noted they are still actively approaching various groups for input.

Councilmember Maloney liked the changes to the goals. He acknowledged the data is early in the process and it will broaden as the project continues.

City Manager Balser stated a statistically valid survey was not requested in this process originally. It can be added to the scope if Council wants one.

Mayor Muckle said the goals are good and they set up a sense of priority for us.

Public Comments – None.

CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

No report.

COUNCIL COMMENTS, COMMITTEE REPORTS, AND IDENTIFICATION OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

City Manager Balser stated staff is looking to schedule an executive session for October 9th prior to the study session. Councilmember Leh moved to hold an executive session on October 9th at 6:15 pm; Mayor Muckle seconded. All in favor.

City Manager Balser stated staff is bringing an item to Council on October 16th to discuss a determination on the Walnut Park Open Space and Lake Park Open Space. She asked Council if they would like this to be discussed by the Parks and Open Space boards prior to a Council discussion.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she would like to have some Council conversation first to set up what we want and to clarify legal and policy questions. Members agreed to this approach.

Councilmember Stolzmann gave an update from DRCOG noting the group has yet to take a position on Proposition 110 but plans to.

Councilmember Leh reminded members Louisville is hosting a Consortium of Cities meeting October 3 to discuss housing and homeless solutions and the 2020 census.

ADJOURN

Members adjourned at 10:48 pm.

Robert P. Muckle, Mayor

Meredyth Muth, City Clerk