

City Council

Meeting Minutes

March 5, 2019
City Hall, Council Chambers
749 Main Street
7:00 PM

Call to Order – Mayor Muckle called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call was taken and the following members were present:

City Council: **Mayor Robert Muckle**
 Mayor Pro Tem Jeff Lipton
 Councilmember Jay Keany
 Councilmember Chris Leh
 Councilmember Susan Loo
 Councilmember Dennis Maloney
 Councilmember Ashley Stolzmann

Staff Present: **Heather Balsler, City Manager**
 Megan Davis, Deputy City Manager
 Kevin Watson, Finance Director
 Nathan Mosely, Parks, Recreation, & Open Space Director
 Ember Brignull, Open Space Manager
 Kurt Kowar, Public Works Director
 Rob Zuccaro, Planning & Building Safety Director
 Felicity Solvoski, Planner I
 Meredyth Muth, City Clerk

Others Present: **Kathleen Kelly, City Attorney**

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All rose for the pledge of allegiance.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mayor Muckle called for changes to the agenda and hearing none, moved to approve the agenda, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Lipton. All in favor.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chris Schmidt, 377 Jackson Circle representing the Fire District Board, stated the board has finished a new comprehensive plan which covers staffing and other initiatives. The District will be seeking a mill levy increase on the ballot in November. A citizen advisory committee will be formed to examine the plan and determine the amount of the request.

Nancy Holloway and Kim Echols, Louisville Community Food Bank, stated they have served the community for 40 years. The food bank is now renting space in the basement of the Methodist church. They have approximately 170 households signed up and other households receiving supplemental quarterly food deliveries. Ms. Holloway stated they are separate from the Methodist Church. They are looking for a new space and hoped the City can help them find a new facility.

APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Mayor Muckle moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Lipton. All in favor.

- A.** Approval of Bills
- B.** Approval of Minutes:
 - ◇ February 12, 2019
 - ◇ February 19, 2019
 - ◇ February 26, 2019
- C.** Award Contract for Water and Wastewater Treatment Plants Hail Damage Repairs to Top That Roofing
- D.** Approval of Sole Source Contract with All Current Electric, Inc. for Electrical and Building Inspection Services
- E.** Approval of Appointments to the Revitalization Commission and the Sustainability Advisory Board
- F.** Approve Purchase of 2019 Chevrolet Colorado Truck for Open Space Division
- G.** Approval of Two Sole Source Agreements with Dropcountr and National Meter and Automation for the 2019 Metering Technology Pilot Programs
- H.** Approve City of Louisville Retention Agreement for Special Employment Counsel to the City Council
- I.** Award Contract for 2019 Fire Hydrant Painting
- J.** Award of Custodial Services Contract

COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS ON PERTINENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Councilmember Keany stated he will miss the Youth Advisory Board on Thursday if any other member can attend.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

No report.

REGULAR BUSINESS

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – MINER’S CABINS FINAL SITE SELECTION AND PHASE THREE RELOCATION AND REHABILITATION SERVICES

Planner Selvoski stated this is a request for Council to make a final site selection on a location for the Lee Avenue miner’s cabins and direction regarding Phase III of the contract for the relocation. This has been a three phase project: moving from the original location to the City Services site; choosing a final location; and relocation to a final location and rehabilitated.

Council directed staff to analyze two options: 1 - moving both cabins to Miner’s Field or 2 - putting one at Highway 42 and Pine Street and one at Miners Field. The consultants have reviewed each site.

Option 1 - both at Miners Field pros include co-location so the cabins maintain historical relationship between the two structures, this is closest to the original location, this has safer pedestrian access from Lee Avenue, this avoids the majority of utility conflicts, avoids majority of drainage conflicts, is the less expensive option, and there is no easement impact. The cons are the location requires the removal of two trees (subject to change based on actual cabin placement) and more grading required to meet ADA requirements and achieve positive drainage.

Option 2 - one at Miners Field and one at Pine Street and Highway 42 pros include minor grading required to achieve ADA compliance and positive drainage and it provides more prominent visual access to the cabins. The cons are the location at Pine could be impacted by future planned construction activities requiring re-doing work accomplished during this phase, would cost approximately \$25,000 to \$30,000 more than Option 1, loses context of cabin setting, there are potential easement conflicts, this is a busy intersection that could negatively impact safe pedestrian access, there are utility pole guy wire conflicts, there are more underground utilities and the cabin needs to be located 10 feet from the sewer main which limits siting options.

The consultants recommend putting both at Miners Field.

Additionally, staff seeks direction on who to use for the rehabilitation of the cabins. The Council had asked staff to pause for a re-evaluation before the start of phase 3. Having identified a final location for the cabins, issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) would allow for the submittal of bids with accurate and updated price estimates. There is a citizens group interested in participating in the process and potentially bidding for phase three would allow that group to participate. The cost estimate would require going out for a competitive bid to meet City purchasing policy rules.

Staff recommends locating both cabins at Miners Field and issuing an RFP for Phase 3.

Councilmember Maloney stated it would be nice if volunteers could be used as we have a great deal of knowledge in the community. Director Zuccaro stated the hope would be they could be used as feasible and when safe for the rehabilitation part but not for the more technical work. Something more specific could be laid out in a new RFP.

Public Comments

Paula Elrod, 828 Jefferson Avenue, stated she does not support putting the cabins at Miners Field. Many of her family members have played baseball and football at Miners Field. She doesn't believe putting these at this location would attract people to see them. If they are open to the public and have more traffic there will be issues with the residents. They should be somewhere more prominent. Miners Field is dear and this will take away from the integrity of the field.

Chuck Thomas, 190 Mesa Court, speaking on behalf of a volunteer effort to renovate the cabins, noted the group submitted a proposal in November 2018 not to exceed \$50,000 to do the renovation work. He noted the Charter encourages volunteers to be involved in processes. The committee has the necessary knowledge to do this work and is prepared to do this as a grassroots project. Their proposal eliminates the need for a new RFP and will enhance community support. He requested the City use them rather than bid out the project. If there is an RFP, please use a three phase approach, site preparation, relocation, and renovation. Volunteers could bid on the phases individually.

Jean Morgan, 1131 Spruce, supported the Miners Field location and asked the volunteers be approved for the rehabilitation which would be at a much lower cost.

John Leary, 1116 LaFarge, noted the group is not interested in working with a contractor, but prefer to do this with just the committee. They would like to demonstrate that they can do all three phases before it is bid.

Mayor Muckle stated he favors the Miners Field location; it will enhance the historic nature of that area. He noted it would be unusual for us to not bid out a project with this much money involved. This is how we do all contracting.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated the site selection has been challenging. She noted the cabins are important to our heritage. For most of the possible locations people have objected to for a variety of reasons. It seems the Miners Field location is where they will more likely be preserved and you can see where they once stood. It is important to preserve them, as we can't keep on original site, supported Miners field.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated for the rehabilitation the cost of \$140,000 seems like a huge amount of money compared to the amount of work needed. There are hazards and risks of using residents for projects; but there are examples in town where work was done by volunteers. If people are really qualified to do this she doesn't object to using

volunteers. Volunteers won't volunteer their time for a private company but will for the City. She supported the volunteer option and suggested voting on it first.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked staff their opinion of using volunteers noting that at the end of the day Council will hold staff responsible so it is important to consider their view. If we use volunteers how will we manage the risks associated with it and risks to those working.

Director Zuccaro stated the project will need a full team of professionals. If the group doesn't have that level of expertise we will need to hire professionals to supplement the team. He added that a volunteer group will need to acquire professional liability insurance; the City cannot insure a volunteer group for this level of work. If there is a way to structure it to meet the full needs of the City and the volunteers, it might work.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked if the volunteer group would need to form an entity to get insurance. City Attorney Kelly stated that is one way they could get insurance. The City's insurance will likely not cover this level of activity by volunteers.

Councilmember Maloney asked if other volunteers are on the workers comp insurance. City Attorney Kelly stated typically there is volunteer temporary medical insurance, but this is a level of activity that would go beyond that.

Councilmember Maloney would like to know if volunteers are on the City's workers comp insurance. He asked if we could use volunteers for such things as tenant finish or roofing for example; and perhaps use professionals for moving the cabins and the electrical work.

City Manager Balser stated there is a difference between managing a contract and managing volunteers; staff needs to know how much oversight would be necessary on a day-to-day basis and understand what the priority is of this project compared to others.

Councilmember Leh asked what is the urgency of doing a bid process as opposed to waiting and evaluating the volunteer effort. Director Zuccaro stated staff has had to continue to maintain the cabins in their current location, but can wait a bit if desired.

Councilmember Leh stated these cabins are an important asset and we want to make sure they are protected and the project gets completed. He stated he is intrigued by a community project that would draw attention to Louisville's history. We do have citizens that have come together with a great deal of expertise; given some time they may be able to get the desired professionals. He liked the idea of splitting off the larger tasks from the easier tenant finish type work.

Councilmember Loo stated managing volunteers is a full time effort. The City does not have a volunteer coordinator. We are in a very litigious atmosphere and that needs to be considered. The cabins are falling apart and fragile. There might be some opportunity to separate out in phases but she doesn't view this as a simple volunteer project. She would like to have a bid process and evaluate the bids like we usually do. She stated she was not convinced this is easy or simple.

Councilmember Leh reiterated the project may need to be split into phases. It might be good to have a bid process but it might effectively prevent the volunteer project. The volunteer project should at least be evaluated.

Mayor Muckle stated he likes the idea of the volunteer project but it is paramount to save the buildings. He would like to see it completed this year. He could support a phased approach with grading, foundation, moving and setting separate from the rehabilitation. Historic Boulder may be able to help with the project facilitation.

Councilmember Stolzmann asked if the cabins are currently palletized. Director Zuccaro stated they are sitting on blocks and do have internal bracing. We think they are stable where they are but they have to be protected with Tyvek and tarps. Mayor Muckle asked if they need more stabilization before moving. Director Zuccaro stated a structural engineer would need to tell us.

Mayor Muckle suggested asking staff to prepare a phased approach with grading, moving, and setting in one phase and rehabilitation split out. He was open to getting them moved and stable and then allowing the volunteers to do the rehab.

Councilmember Loo asked if it will cost more to split it into phases. Director Zuccaro noted the alteration certificate would be required for rehabilitation, so it may create some efficiencies to have it all together and require contractor to use volunteers for the last phase. He noted this will require more staff management. Staff doesn't want to be managing a construction project, we aren't set up for it, nor do we have the expertise.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton agreed with Mayor's suggestion to split phase three but bring back the RFP for consideration.

MOTION: Mayor Pro Tem moved to split phase three into grading, moving, and setting the cabins on foundations as the first part and rehabilitation as the second part and that the location be Miners Field. Mayor Muckle seconded.

Director Zuccaro asked for clarification on in which phase the stabilization would be; with setting the cabins or with rehabilitation.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated stabilization should be in the initial phase along with drawings and getting building permits. It needs to be safe and then volunteers can take over for the rehabilitation.

Chuck Thomas stated the committee is prepared to take on the entire project including the professionals or just the rehabilitation part. The anticipation was that the insurance issues are for the professional services and those professionals would be using their own insurance to cover those things.

Councilmember Stolzmann made an intervening motion that Council choose the site at Miners Field and allow a volunteer effort to complete phase three. Councilmember Maloney seconded.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated we may be over complicating things. This is an opportunity for a good project and we should take a chance as a volunteer activity.

Vote on intervening motion: Motion failed 3-4.

Yes: Council Member Stolzmann, Council Member Leh, Council Member Keany.

No: Council Member Loo, Mayor Pro Tem Lipton, Council Member Maloney, Mayor Muckle.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton restated his earlier motion by moving to accept staff's recommended location for the site at Miners Field and ask staff to re-scope phase 3 in a way to protect the City's interest and also try to maximize the prudent use of volunteers. Mayor Muckle agreed as seconder.

Voice vote: all in favor.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – AWARD OF FIVE-YEAR TRASH, RECYCLING, AND COMPOST HAULER CONTRACT

Mayor Muckle noted staff has been working on this for a year now to achieve the directed goal to allow people to conveniently dispose of waste in an environmentally friendly manner that is cost effective. The Sustainability Plan speaks to the diversion of solid waste and the availability of composting. Ten years ago the City completed a first five-year contract; five years ago we selected the same contractor in part because we did not carefully write the RFP to be sure we were looking at matching bid answers. This time the RFP was carefully crafted to include pay-as-you-throw, have composting embedded in the trash rate, and set up a scoring system. In the end, Republic responded more clearly and directly and provided the embedded service at significantly less money. Council directed to bring back a contract with Republic.

Director Kowar stated staff took the direction from the February 12th meeting to negotiate a contract with Republic for a five-year term and has brought back a final contract for Council consideration. The contract includes various services required in the RFP such as curbside spring and fall tree branch pick up as well as an annual large item pick up.

Director Kowar stated there was an article concerning Republic having an issue in Nederland. This related to one commercial customer. Staff is still comfortable using Republic and does not feel that issue is relevant to the contract being presented.

Staff recommends approval of the contract in its current form.

Public Comments

Rick Welch, 521 Wildrose Court, stated he has heard negative issues about Republic. He stated the Better Business Bureau reviews show many complaints which contrasts with Western which has only two. He doesn't want to be in the position to be hassling about trash service and doesn't see that Republic can provide the service levels of Western. He asked if the City asked neighboring cities about Republic. He felt \$60 per year savings was not worth it. He doesn't think the City will get good service from this company.

Eric Davila, 1903 Garfield, heard a lot about saving money but he didn't think the savings is enough to consider this change. It is hypocritical for the City to tell us to shop local and then not go with the local company. This is taking away 1/5 of Western's jobs.

Robert Cozart, 914 Sunflower Street, stated they have had Western for 29 years and never had a problem. He feels the residents should have been asked what they want. He is concerned we are ignoring the local company. He is concerned he would be forced to get 96-gallon bins for composting and recycling, those won't fit in his space.

Mayor Muckle noted residents can get any size containers they wish.

Amanda McGarry, 1934 Blue Star Lane, stated she wants to show support for the large numbers of people on social media. She noted she moved here because she thinks the community has residents at heart. Her HOA switched to Western and the neighborhood likes it. She doesn't think Republic offers enough better options for the few dollars it would save residents. A local company would care about their reputation. We should shop local.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated one of the things the Utility Committee is working on is increasing waste diversion. The RFP was structured to be a fair process to encourage sending less to the landfill. The embedded price structure is based on the size of the trash can while having any size composting and recycling bins. There is a lot of opportunity for improvement on our composting numbers. Choosing a trash hauler is not all about price, we do have a local vendor preference, but it still has to be within our purchasing policies.

She stated when we raise prices in our programs we hear about it from residents. On the whole this is \$600,000 better in price and it could be even greater if people compost more. If we were cost overrun \$600,000 on another project that would be a huge deal.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated when looking at a variety of factors Republic gives us the best ways to divert more from the landfill. It brings back some services such as branch and leaf pick up. She added staff did references checks and she too called Council members in Sheridan, Edgewater, and Lafayette and got very positive feedback. She spoke to a number of people who live in Lafayette and got good reviews as well. She feels this is a good decision and gives us opportunity to reduce landfill and reduce bills.

Mayor Muckle stated he too has talked to many people who use Republic and found people to be happy with their service. We are aware of issues on social media about Nederland but those are not a complete or true set of facts. Mayor Muckle asked how staff will address complaints from residents.

Director Kowar stated the contract provides a dedicated employee to Louisville for complaints and customer service as well as dedicated staff on outreach, the website, and transition services. We will work with Republic to manage any challenges that arise.

Councilmember Leh asked Director Kowar to review the process by which these two companies were ultimately selected. Director Kowar stated the RFP was crafted carefully and three companies showed up for the pre-bid meeting. There were two bids received which staff reviewed and clarified. A five person committee interviewed the companies and scored each one objectively. The results were then presented to City Council. Kowar noted this has been one of the most thorough RFP processes we have done.

Councilmember Leh asked how citizen input was taken in previous processes. Director Kowar said there had been questions about satisfaction with the service and frequency of compost pick up on the last two citizen surveys.

Councilmember Maloney stated he agrees with Councilmember Stolzmann's comments and appreciates the RFP process that took place. He noted much of what he has read on social media and email does not include a lot of facts.

Councilmember Maloney moved to approve the contract with Republic for waste hauling, Councilmember Loo seconded.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – 2019 BID FOR CONCRETE REPLACEMENT

Director Kowar stated this is consideration of a contract with Standard Concrete and staff has one consideration needing Council input regarding the area where the Coal Creek Trail comes into Roosevelt Avenue and then runs adjacent. The area is currently crusher fines which does not give proper ADA accessibility. Public Works staff has recommended doing an eight-foot sidewalk in that area, however the Open Space board would prefer to maintain the existing crusher fines trail.

Public Comments – None.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she has seen a person in a wheelchair using the middle of the street because there is no paved option on either side. Our guidelines say we want a paved option on at least one side of the street. She supported adding a sidewalk, and suggested that we redouble our efforts to have Coal Creek Trail realigned to stay along the creek.

Mayor Muckle asked why there is no sidewalk on the west side. Director Kowar stated there is none currently and the neighbors don't want a sidewalk on that side. If the properties redevelop it would be required. Councilmember Stolzmann added that in other neighborhoods we would have required sidewalks when homes redevelop.

Mayor Muckle asked if we have enough right of way to build both sidewalk and crusher fines. Director Kowar stated probably not.

Councilmember Loo stated concrete is so hard on the body and it is nice to have a softer surface to walk on and those are harder and harder to find. As the community ages it is nice to have softer surfaces to walk on.

Open Space Manager Brignull stated this is a regional trail and we have worked with our regional partners to maintain a crusher fine surface where we can. We have found the crusher fines help people navigate; people lose their way when the surface is not consistent. Crusher fines reduce sign clutter and helps maintain the town's rural character. She added keeping the crusher fines was an effort to keep the rural character but there is also awareness of not creating any ADA issues.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she understands the open space issues but wants to be sure people of all abilities can access around the neighborhood and transit options.

Councilmember Maloney stated he uses this trail frequently. He stated the area along Aspen Way is rarely an even surface and the crusher fines don't work very well here. He thinks concrete here is the best solution.

Mayor Muckle asked if we could build something narrower than 8 feet. Director Kowar stated we could do four feet, or four feet of concrete and four of crusher fines. Councilmember Stolzmann stated a four foot walk would match the sidewalk to the west; it just needs to be ADA compliant to make this area accessible.

Councilmember Keany supported a four foot sidewalk along with four foot crusher fines trail with a driveway pan at the farm entrance.

Mayor Muckle moved to approve the contract with a change to have a four-foot concrete trail and a 4-foot crusher fines trail. Seconded by Councilmember Keany.

Councilmember Stolzmann offered a friendly amendment to let staff determine exact sizes based on ADA requirements. Motioner and seconder accepted.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – LOUISVILLE SUB-REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROJECT SUBMITTALS

Deputy City Manager Davis asked Council to review the applications for the sub-regional transportation improvement plan (TIP). This is federal money DRCOG distributes. The process this year has the sub-regional areas applying for the funding. Last week staff submitted three applications: the South Boulder Road and Main St. Pedestrian Underpass; Hwy 42 Design Plan for the reconfiguration; and the Coal Creek/Rock Creek

trails regional connection at 104th Street. At the last minute, cost updates on the underpass came in showing a large increase. As such, staff also submitted a project for South Boulder Road at-grade safety and intersection improvements. She asked Council if all four applications should still be in the process or any removed from consideration.

There is \$15.3M available for all of Boulder County. There are 23 applications totaling \$40M in requests.

Staff would like to get direction on the two South Boulder Road proposals and if Council would want to keep both in. If both are kept in and the underpass is funded it would reduce the at-grade improvements that would be completed. Staff would also like to know where the projects fall in prioritization for Council.

Mayor Muckle asked where the regional funding was allocated. Deputy City Manager Davis noted that was already allocated for a Hwy 119 project and State Hwy 7.

Councilmember Stolzmann, serving as Louisville's DRCOG representative, added this new process recognizes regional impact. She is looking know if Council wants to continue with the underpass at this price so she can argue what Council wants. That project is similar in scope to a project funded last year in Boulder. The City would need to be ready to put up the match if chosen. There are a lot of good projects proposed for the region.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated a wait list will be created if new funding becomes available which should be considered.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked what the plan for an at-grade crossing project would be at South Boulder Road. It would help to understand if the at-grade project alleviates other concerns. He stated he is concerned with the cost of the underpass; it would take all the transportation money we have for all of our other projects. He is concerned the at-grade improvements add to congestion and travel time.

Councilmember Maloney stated he prefers the at-grade solution; it addresses more intersections on South Boulder Road for safety. For the future list, he would like a way to connect all the way from Hwy 36 to Lafayette on a path. Deputy City Manager Davis stated that will happen with the next step of the Transportation Master Plan.

Councilmember Keany stated he agreed with Councilmember Maloney for the at-grade option. The underpass would be great at some point, but now it makes more sense to address multiple intersections. He is not sure why we are giving funds to the Marshall Road underpass.

Mayor Muckle agreed on the Marshall Road issue; Louisville already put in more than our share on that area but if our funds bring it to fruition it might be worth it.

Mayor Muckle agreed with Councilmember Maloney on South Boulder Road that we can't commit that much money to one location, the at-grade makes sense.

Councilmember Stolzmann noted the process gives more points to group projects; money to Marshall Road helps its scoring as does Lafayette giving funds to our 104th trail project.

Councilmember Leh asked why the costs on the underpass have gone up so significantly in the recent analysis; what is the lion's share of that attributed to. Director Kowar stated there are many things, including working so close to existing buildings, increasing construction costs; it is layers of both soft costs and direct costs.

Councilmember Leh asked if the at-grade improvements will affect safety and timing given the increased regional traffic. Director Kowar stated their analysis along the corridor shows the timing is about a 30 second slowdown.

Councilmember Leh stated the public feedback has been all about an underpass but we continually get stuck on the costs. At some point \$8.7M is an incredible amount to spend on one location. We can do a lot more good for a lot more people with the at-grade crossings and improve connectivity.

Councilmember Loo stated the underpass is too expensive to support. She supports the at-grade but doesn't want it to slow down traffic. South Boulder Road flows as well as it can during rush hour and a lot of traffic mitigation is not what we really want, residents won't want it if it slows it down. She supports the Hwy 42 plan and is ok with the 104th and Marshall Road projects as they would benefit our residents for a low cost.

City Manager Balsler confirmed staff will remove the underpass application.

Mayor Muckle proposed a priority list of the Highway 42 Plan, South Boulder Road at-grade improvements, and then the others. All agreed.

CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

None.

COUNCIL COMMENTS, COMMITTEE REPORTS, AND IDENTIFICATION OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Councilmember Leh reviewed the recent BRaD meeting and their 2019 work plan. There was an interest in trying to focus attention on the McCaslin Boulevard study and the GDP amendment as well as the Transportation Master Plan.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated DRCOG will be hosting a study session on the future of transportation and the annual awards banquet is coming up which is a good chance for networking.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated the CC4CA is working on their policy agenda regarding plastics legislation. She asked for Council direction on this. Mayor Muckle would like this added to a future agenda. Members agreed.

City Manager Balser noted the Fire District is scheduled for a May 14 joint study session. Councilmember Stolzmann wanted to know what impact having the urban renewal money would have on the mill levy increase the district is going to ask for.

Councilmember Maloney asked if the Terraces on Main on March 19 will be both the PUD and the TIF funding. City Manager Balser stated it will be both items. Councilmember Maloney asked that the TIF item include details on how TIF funding works and details on the process. City Manager Balser noted staff has prepared that for members of the Revitalization Commission and will make it available for Council members.

Councilmember Maloney asked when the LRC and the Council will be meeting to discuss joint vision. City Manager Balser stated staff is looking for dates for that now.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated he too would like to make sure the LRC and Council are on the same page. He would like the conversation of the PUD and the TIF agreement perhaps split to two separate discussions. It is important to do the PUD, but perhaps the TIF discussion can be done another night.

City Manager Balser stated staff can ask the applicant about adjusting that timing.

Mayor Muckle reported on the US 36 Mayors and Commissioners lobbying trip to Washington DC. They met with legislators and various agencies. There were good conversations about transportation as well as the quiet zone crossings.

ADJOURN

Members adjourned at 9:44 pm.

Robert P. Muckle, Mayor

Meredyth Muth, City Clerk